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Abstract:

Background: Childhood visual impairment due to refractive errors is a significant but avoidable problem. Uncorrected refractive errors in school children has a considerable impact on their physical and mental development. So screening school children helps in early detection and timely interventions can improve child's potential tremendously during the formative years.

Aim: To determine the magnitude of refractive errors among school children of different age group, frequency of various types of refractive error and the percentage of refractive error in boys and girls.

Methods: The study was an institution based cross sectional study of 91 government schools located in Davangere taluk and Davangere city. A total number of 7496 students studying in 5th, 6th, 7th standards were screened for defective vision using Snellens E chart and refraction was done by refractionists.

Results: The study population comprised of 8677 children. Excluding absentees and those in whom refraction could not be performed, a total of 7496 students were examined. The prevalence of refractive error was found to be 6.4%. Out of 7496 students 3909 were boys and 3587 were girls. The prevalence of refractive error was found to be 5.3%, 5.6%, 8.2% in 5th, 6th, 7th standard students respectively.

Conclusion: The prevalence of refractive error is quite significant. So there is a need to have regular and simple vision testing in school children so that corrective measures may be recommended at the earliest possible.
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Introduction:

Ametropia (a condition of refractive error) is defined as a state of refraction, when parallel rays of light coming from infinity are focused either in front or behind the retina after passing through the diopteric power of the eye when the accommodation is at rest. Refractive error is one of the most common causes of visual impairment around the world and the second leading cause of treatable blindness. An estimated 153 million people over 5 years of age are visually impaired as a result of uncorrected refractive errors of which 8 million are blind. Approximately 12.8 million children in the age group 5-15 years are visually impaired from uncorrected or inadequately corrected refractive error estimating a global prevalence of 0.96%. The prevalence of childhood blindness in India is 0.17%. Treatable refractive error is the major cause 33.3% followed by preventable causes like vitamin a deficiency, post cataract surgery amblyopia. Refractive error is an avoidable cause of visual impairment. Diagnosis and treatment of refractive errors is the simplest and most effective forms of eye care. Since children usually do not complain of visual difficulties early detection and prompt treatment of eye diseases is important to prevent vision problems and eye morbidities which could affect their learning ability, personality and adjustment in school.

The purpose of this study is to gather information on refractive status of students so that an effective approach can be planned to tackle the burden of readily correctable refraction problems in school children. Children were also provided with glasses and medicines when found necessary by DBCS organizations aided by government of Karnataka.

Objectives of the study

1) To determine the magnitude of refractive errors among school children of different age group
2) To find out the frequency of various types of refractive errors.
3) To explore the percentage of refractive error in boys and girls.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design:** This was a institution based cross sectional study in which higher primary school children were screened for refractive errors.

**Period of study:** June 2013 to March 2014.

**Inclusion criteria:** Higher primary school children studying in 5th, 6th, 7th standards in government schools of Davangere Taluk.

Study was carried out at S.S.I.M.S.&R.C, Department of ophthalmology.

**Exclusion criteria:**
1) Children who were absent on the day of examination.
2) Children in whom refraction could not be performed because of media opacity.

Ethical issues: Permission to carry out this study was sought for and obtained from respective school head masters.

Data collection: A total number of 242 government schools are located in Davangere Taluk. 147 schools in rural area and 92 in Davangere city. In the present study out of 242 schools 91 schools are selected. Children studying in 5th, 6th, 7th standard were screened with the help of refractionists appointed by DBCS.

**Methods:** The distant vision of a child was tested using Snellens illiterate E chart. The visual acuity was tested with the chart at 6m. If uncorrected vision was <6/9 in either, the child was declared to have defective vision. All students with defective vision were examined by the refractionist. Objective refraction was performed with retinoscope which was followed by subjective refraction till the best corrected visual acuity was achieved. Hypermetropes, Children with BCVA less than 6/6 and those in whom BCVA was 6/6 but accomodation symptoms like head ache, eye pain were present, were subjected to cycloplegic refraction with cyclopentolate 1% eye drops. Children already wearing spectacles were also examined and change in power was noted. Myopia was considered when the measured refraction was more than or equal to -0.5D sph in one or both eyes. Hypermetropia was considered when the measured objective refraction was greater than or equal to +1.0 D spherical equivalent. Astigmatism was considered to be visually significant if more than or equal to 1.00D. The visual acuity, types of refractive error and correction was noted down. Corrective spectacle list were sent to D.H.O and spectacles sanctioned by Government were issued to children at free of cost. Children with other ocular problems were referred to base hospital.

The data was entered in Microsoft xl spread sheet and analysis was done using statistical packages z test was used to compare the gender wise prevalence of refractive error. Chi square test was used to compare the prevalence for different age groups.

**Results**

After careful analysis the observations were presented in the following tables 1-4.

**Table 1: Prevalence of refractive error**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of students</th>
<th>No. of students with ref error</th>
<th>% of prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7496</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Sex wise distribution of refractive error. z test for proportion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. of students</th>
<th>No.of students with ref error</th>
<th>% of prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>3909</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>3587</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boys v/s girls Z=2.12, p=0.02 (p<0.05) significant.

**Table 3: Age wise prevalence of refractive error**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of students</th>
<th>No.of students with ref error</th>
<th>% of prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th std (10yrs)</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th std(11yrs)</td>
<td>2595</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th std (12yrs)</td>
<td>2583</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square=21.98, P<0.05 significant.

**Table 4: Comparison of age wise distribution of refractive error.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th v/s 6th</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th v/s 7th</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>0.03 (&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th v/s 7th</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>0.001 (&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th v/s 7th</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>0.03 (&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th v/s 7th</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>0.001 (&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Frequency of distribution of various types of Refractive Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no. of students with error</th>
<th>479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermetropia</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total strength of the schools selected was 8677. Out of which 7496 students were present on the day of examination and were included in the present study. Out of 7496 presented, 3909 were boys and 3587 were girls. 6.4% of the students were found to be having refractive errors. Of these 5.8% was found in boys and 7.0% was found in girls. 5.3% prevalence was found in 5th standard students. 5.6% prevalence in 6th standard students and 8.2% in 7th standard students. Out of total 479 students with refractive error, 396 were myopes constituting the highest prevalence percentage 82.6%, 45 students were hypermetropes (9.3%), 37 had astigmatism (7.9%).

Discussion

In India uncorrected refractive errors are the most common cause of visual impairment and second major cause of avoidable blindness. In the global initiative 2020 for the elimination of avoidable blindness refractive errors have been emphasized together with other ocular diseases like cataract, trachoma and onchocerciasis. The refractive error study in children (RESC) has been formed under this initiative to try and assess the prevalence of refractive errors in children. The prevalence of refractive error in this study population was 6.4% similar to prevalence observed by GVS Murthy et al in New Delhi (6.4%) and kumar et al in Lucknow (7.4%) and Pavithra MB et al in Bangalore (7.03%) similar studies from different parts of the world showed a prevalence of 8.2% Proslan et al in Baltimore (USA) 12.8% in Shunyi district in China, 2.9% in Nepal, 15.8% in Chile, 11.6% in Uganda, 18.5% in Santa Monic, USA by Chen et al, 10.4% by Kazuhiro et al in Japan.

These variations in the prevalence data from studies in different parts of the world are due to different operational definitions considered by investigators and also due to differences in demographic factors.

Refractive error was more prevalent in female children (7.0%) as compared to males (5.8%) and this difference was statistically significant.

Seema Sharma et al found that prevalence of refractive error was 23.7% in girls and only 12.2% in boys. Pavithra MB et al found that the prevalence of refractive error was 9% in females as compared to 5.3% in boys.

Similar results were obtained by Prema N at Kancheepuram district, Tamilnadu and Tay MT et al in their study on young Singaporeans.

In these studies the differences were related to the possible differences in the rate of growth between girls and boys. Girls attain puberty earlier on an average and reach their final body weight 1-2 years earlier than boys.

Higher prevalence was found in 7th standard students (8.2%) as compared to 5th standard students (5.3%) p=0.0003 which is statistically significant.

These results match with study done by Pavithra MB et al in Bangalore and Sethi et al in Ahmedabad city.

Prevalence of myopia was 82.67% followed by hypermetropia 9.3%, astigmatism 7.9%. Similar results were obtained in a study done by Kawuma M in Kampala district, Sonam Sethi et al among school children of Ahmedabad and Bansal A et al who did a study on Ocular Morbidity in School going Children of Kolar District, South India.

Limitations of our study

1) Only presentees were included in the study population.
2) Only school going children were included.

Significant proportion of children in rural India and other developing countries do not go to schools hence a more complete assessment of visual impairment in children would be possible with population based studies not restricted only to school going children. Population based studies covering non school going children are recommended.

Conclusion

Significantly low utilisation of eye care services relative to recommended guidelines is observed in low income populations. School eye screening (SES) program was initiated under National Program for Control of Blindness (NPCB) in 1994. The activities in SES include identification of schools, collection of data regarding students, training of teachers, screening of students by ophthalmic assistants/ophthalmologists, prescription of correcting lens,
providing free spectacle to children from poor socioeconomic strata and referring patients to an appropriate centre if further management is needed.

These data support the assumption that vision screening of school children in developing countries would be very useful. The present study indicates that the school age is a high risk group for developing refractive errors. So there is a need to have regular and simple vision testing in school children so that corrective measures may be recommended at the earliest time possible. We sincerely acknowledge the Management, Principal of S.S.I.M.S&R.C, Davangere for their support for this work. We sincerely acknowledge refractionists working under DBCS Ranganath S.K, Thippeswamy A.M, Kumar P.K and Basavraj for their support for this work. The authors are grateful to the school teachers and the children who participated and extended their full cooperation in the study.
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